

**Ecological Risk Assessment of Persistent Organic  
Pollutants in Wetlands of the Remediated Sydney  
Olympic Park, NSW, Australia**

**Christopher Rawson**

Submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

University of Technology, Sydney

May 2008

## **Certificate of Authorship/Originality**

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of the requirements of a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis

Signature of Student

---

## Acknowledgements

Firstly I would like to acknowledge the support and encouragement provided throughout the PhD process by my three supervisors, Associate Professor Richard Lim, Dr. Louis Tremblay and Dr. Michael Warne. Dr. Guang-Guo Ying provided invaluable assistance in the chemical analysis of sediment and pore water samples and Edwina Laginestra's vast knowledge on Sydney Olympic Park was eminently useful in designing the study. Thanks go to Kat Trought for her patient tutelage in the finer points of cell culture and EROD determination.

I must acknowledge those who have provided laboratory and field assistance throughout this project, particularly Brad Coates, Sarah Stephenson and Danni Cortez for their work in sampling and sorting invertebrate cores. Their taxonomic skills were greatly appreciated. There were many people who gave freely of their time to wade around in wetlands and swamps catching mosquitofish, collecting sediments and other dirty smelly work including Katie Gledhill, Jenni Rowe, Carla Harris, Paul York, Mel Elith, Remi Fabre, and Alec Davie.

A special mention must go to my fellow post-grads and post-docs and laboratory staff at UTS for their friendship and pub-related companionship over the last few years, particularly –Damian Licari, Rachael Smith, Cliff Seery, Alec Davie, Vanessa Valenzuela, Will Figueira, Pete Biro, James Van Den Broek, Skye Taylor, Jordan Iles, and Matt Cole. Paul York and Carla Harris have been with me throughout my academic career. You have been my rocks and have provided inspiration, support, good times and belly laughs. May this continue long into the future.

Support from my family, Pam, Dave and Rob has been unending and will be appreciated forever. As will the amazing understanding shown by my partner Jenni Rowe. She has accepted the various turns this processes has taken and has understood and never complained about the late nights and the moods. Thank you with all my heart!

## **Funding and Animals Ethics**

This research was conducted under the Animal Care and Ethics protocol RNSH/UTS 0402-014A and a NSW Department of Primary Industries Scientific Research Permit (Permit No: P03/0104). This research and the APAI scholarship was funded by an ARC Linkage Grant (LP0455131). A UTS doctoral scholarship provided further support.

# Table of Contents

<b><u>LIST OF FIGURES</u></b>	<b><u>IX</u></b>
<b><u>LIST OF TABLES</u></b>	<b><u>XII</u></b>
<b><u>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</u></b>	<b><u>XV</u></b>
<b><u>ABSTRACT</u></b>	<b><u>XVIII</u></b>
<b><u>1. GENERAL INTRODUCTION</u></b>	<b><u>1</u></b>
1.1 ENDOCRINE DISRUPTING COMPOUNDS	2
1.1.1 DEFINITION AND DESCRIPTION	2
1.1.2 MODE OF ACTION	5
1.2 REPRODUCTIVELY ACTIVE EDCs	5
1.2.1 DEFINITION, SOURCES AND FATE	5
1.2.2 CURRENT STATE OF REPRODUCTIVE EDC RESEARCH	7
1.3 PERSISTENT ORGANIC POLLUTANTS (POPs)	8
1.3.1 DEFINITION AND DESCRIPTION	8
1.3.2 MODE OF ACTION AND EFFECTS OF POPs	11
1.4 CONTAMINATED SITES	12
1.4.1 CONTAMINATED SITE ASSESSMENT	13
1.4.2 REMEDIATION METHODS AND POST-REMEDATION ASSESSMENT	14
1.5 HOMEBUSH BAY AND SURROUNDS	14
1.5.1 ECOLOGICAL DEGRADATION AND CONTAMINATION	14
1.5.2 SYDNEY OLYMPIC PARK REMEDIATION	16
1.5.3 CURRENT STATE AND USES OF SYDNEY OLYMPIC PARK	18
1.6 USE OF GAMBUSIA SPP. (POECILIIDAE) IN EDC ECOTOXICOLOGY	20
1.7 STUDY AIMS	21
1.8 CHAPTER OUTLINES	22
<b><u>2. DESCRIPTION OF STUDY SITES</u></b>	<b><u>25</u></b>
2.1 SYDNEY OLYMPIC PARK STUDY SITES	25
2.1.1 NARRAWANG 22	25
2.1.2 BOUNDARY CREEK	29
2.1.3 LAKE BELVEDERE	29
2.1.4 EASTERN WATER QUALITY CONTROL POND (EWQCP) AND SOUTHERN WATER QUALITY CONTROL POND (SWQCP)	30
2.1.5 WHARF STREAM	30
2.1.6 NORTHERN WATER FEATURE	31
2.1.7 BICENTENNIAL PARK	31
2.1.8 WHARF POND	31
2.2 REFERENCE SITES	31
2.2.1 UPPER COLO	32
2.2.2 MACQUARIE UNIVERSITY	36

2.2.3 HOMEBUSH BAY	36
--------------------	----

### **3. POTENTIAL ESTROGENICITY OF THE SEDIMENT AND WATER OF WETLANDS IN SYDNEY OLYMPIC PARK**

<b>3.1 ABSTRACT</b>	<b>37</b>
<b>3.2 INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>38</b>
<b>3.3 MATERIALS AND METHODS</b>	<b>41</b>
3.3.1 WATER AND SEDIMENT SAMPLE COLLECTION AND PREPARATION	41
3.3.2 ESTROGEN RECEPTOR COMPETITIVE BINDING ASSAY	42
3.3.3 MOSQUITOFISH COLLECTION AND PROCESSING	43
3.3.4 DATA ANALYSIS	44
<b>3.4 RESULTS</b>	<b>47</b>
<b>3.5 DISCUSSION</b>	<b>53</b>
<b>3.6 CONCLUSIONS</b>	<b>58</b>

### **4. TCDDEQ OF SEDIMENT AND WATER OF THE WETLANDS OF SYDNEY OLYMPIC PARK**

<b>4.1 ABSTRACT</b>	<b>59</b>
<b>4.2 INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>60</b>
<b>4.3 MATERIALS AND METHODS</b>	<b>63</b>
4.3.1 STUDY SITES	63
4.3.2 SAMPLE COLLECTION	63
4.3.3 AGITATION EXPERIMENT	64
4.3.4 SAMPLE EXTRACTION AND ELUTION	64
4.3.5 H4IIE BIOASSAY	65
4.3.6 CHEMICAL ANALYSIS	66
4.3.7 SEDIMENT ANALYSIS	67
4.3.8 DATA ANALYSIS	68
<b>4.4 RESULTS</b>	<b>68</b>
<b>4.5 DISCUSSION</b>	<b>78</b>
<b>4.6 CONCLUSIONS</b>	<b>85</b>

### **5. CYP1A IN MOSQUITOFISH (*GAMBUSIA HOLBROOKI*) IN SYDNEY OLYMPIC PARK**

<b>PART (A) – METHOD DEVELOPMENT</b>	<b>86</b>
<b>5(A).1 ABSTRACT</b>	<b>86</b>
<b>5(A).2 INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>87</b>
<b>5(A).3 MATERIALS AND METHODS</b>	<b>87</b>
5(A).3.1 FISH COLLECTION AND PROCESSING	87
5(A).3.2 S9 FRACTION PREPARATION	88
5(A).3.3 MOSQUITOFISH EROD ASSAY DEVELOPMENT, AND VERIFICATION	88
5(A).3.4 MOSQUITOFISH EROD ASSAY	90
5(A).3.5 DATA ANALYSIS	90
<b>5(A).4 RESULTS</b>	<b>91</b>
<b>5(A).5 DISCUSSION</b>	<b>91</b>

<b>PART (B) – HEPATIC CYP1A ACTIVITY OF MOSQUITOFISH IN SYDNEY OLYMPIC PARK</b>	<b>95</b>
<b>5(B).1 ABSTRACT</b>	<b>95</b>
<b>5(B).2 INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>96</b>
<b>5(B).3 MATERIALS AND METHODS</b>	<b>98</b>
5(B).3.1 FISH COLLECTION AND PROCESSING	98
5(B).3.2 S9 FRACTION PREPARATION	98
5(B).3.3 MOSQUITOFISH EROD ASSAY	99
5(B).3.4 DATA ANALYSIS	99
<b>5(B).4 RESULTS</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>5(B).5 DISCUSSION</b>	<b>109</b>
<b>5(B).6 CONCLUSIONS</b>	<b>116</b>
<b><u>6. BENTHIC MACROINVERTEBRATE ASSEMBLAGES IN WETLANDS OF SYDNEY OLYMPIC PARK</u></b>	<b><u>117</u></b>
<b>6.1 ABSTRACT</b>	<b>117</b>
<b>6.2 INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>118</b>
<b>6.3 MATERIALS AND METHODS</b>	<b>120</b>
6.3.1 STUDY SITES AND SAMPLE COLLECTION	120
6.3.2 SAMPLE PROCESSING	121
6.3.3 DATA ANALYSIS	122
<b>6.4 RESULTS</b>	<b>123</b>
<b>6.5 DISCUSSION</b>	<b>133</b>
<b>6.6 CONCLUSIONS</b>	<b>141</b>
<b><u>7. POPULATION CONDITION AND LIFE-HISTORY TRAITS OF MOSQUITOFISH (<i>GAMBUSIA HOLBROOKI</i>) IN SYDNEY OLYMPIC PARK</u></b>	<b><u>142</u></b>
<b>7.1 ABSTRACT</b>	<b>142</b>
<b>7.2 INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>143</b>
<b>7.3 MATERIALS AND METHODS</b>	<b>145</b>
7.3.1 STUDY SITES	145
7.3.2 FISH COLLECTION AND PROCESSING	145
7.3.3 MORPHOLOGY	146
7.3.4 POPULATION CONDITION, FULTON’S K AND GONADO-SOMATIC INDEX	146
7.3.5 DATA ANALYSIS	147
<b>7.4 RESULTS</b>	<b>148</b>
<b>7.5 DISCUSSION</b>	<b>158</b>
<b>7.6 CONCLUSIONS</b>	<b>165</b>
<b><u>8. WEIGHT-OF-EVIDENCE SITE ASSESSMENT</u></b>	<b><u>167</u></b>
<b>8.1 INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>167</b>
<b>8.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS</b>	<b>169</b>
8.2.1 SITES	169
8.2.2 SELECTION OF LINES OF EVIDENCE	169
8.2.3 SCORING AND WEIGHTING OF LOE	170
8.2.4 WOE MATRIX AND SITE RANKING	170

8.2.5 UNCERTAINTY IN RANKING	171
<b>8.3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>	<b>171</b>
<b>8.4 CONCLUSIONS</b>	<b>176</b>
 <b>9. CONCLUDING REMARKS</b>	 <b>177</b>
 <b>9.1 STUDY CONCLUSIONS</b>	 <b>177</b>
<b>9.2 FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS</b>	<b>179</b>
 <b>10. REFERENCES</b>	 <b>180</b>
 <b>APPENDIX A – METHOD: ESTROGEN RECEPTOR ISOLATION</b>	 <b>218</b>
<b>APPENDIX B – METHOD: ESTROGEN RECEPTOR CHARACTERISATION</b>	<b>220</b>
<b>APPENDIX C – METHOD: ESTROGEN RECEPTOR COMPETITIVE BINDING ASSAY</b>	<b>223</b>
<b>APPENDIX D – METHOD: TISSUE CULTURE</b>	<b>226</b>
<b>APPENDIX E – METHOD: H4IIE CELL COUNTING</b>	<b>229</b>
<b>APPENDIX F – METHOD: H4IIE ASSAY</b>	<b>231</b>
<b>APPENDIX G – METHOD: MOSQUITOFISH EROD ACTIVITY ASSAY</b>	<b>235</b>
<b>APPENDIX H – OUTPUT ARISING FROM THIS PROJECT</b>	<b>240</b>



## List of Figures

- Figure 1-1 Chemical structures of representatives of the major groups of known endocrine disrupting compounds (EDCs)..... 4
- Figure 1-2 Framework used in the current study for the assessment of the study sites used in the current study with the chapters where the material is presented..... 23
- Figure 2-1 Map of Sydney Olympic Park and Homebush Bay surrounds showing water, sediment and fish collection sites. 1.Wilson Park, 2.Wharf Pond, 3.Wharf Stream, 4.Narrawang Wetlands (N22) 5.Northern Water Feature, 6.Eastern Water Quality Control Pond, 7.Bicentennial Park, 8.Southern Water Quality Control Pond, 9.Fishway, 10.Lake Belvedere, 11.Boundary Ck, 12. Haslams Mouth, 13. Homebush Bay, 14. Newton Wharf, 15. Silverwater Bridge..... 28
- Figure 2-2 Landuse map of greater Sydney showing all urban reference sites used in this study; 1. Upper Colo, 2. Yarramundi, 3. Second Ponds Ck, 4. South Ck, 5. Quakers Hill, 6. 7. Nepean R., 8. Kemps Ck, 9. Cecil Hills, 10. Prospect Ck, 11. Chipping Norton Lake, 12. Oyster Bay, 13. Georges R., 14. Bardwell Ck, 15. Joseph Banks Park, 16. Macquarie Uni, 17. Terrys Ck., 18. Moores Ck, 19. Galston, 20 Narrabeen Lagoon. Solid black circle denotes position of SOP..... 34
- Figure 2-3 Landuse map showing location and catchment size of Nepean R (7) and Upper Colo (1) study sites. Solid black circle denotes position of Sydney Olympic Park..... 35
- Figure 3-1 Detail of the male mosquitofish. A. Gonopodium of non-sexually mature male (no gonopodial terminal hook complex). B. Gonopodium of sexually mature male (gonopodial terminal hook complex present). C. Detail of gonopodium showing the measurement of the width ratio. D. Measurement taken as the standard length of the fish. E. Detail of the gonopodium showing the measurement of the gonopodium length. F. Detail of gonopodium showing the measurement of the length ratio..... 40
- Figure 3-2 Scatter plots of combined gonopodium length vs. standard length of male mosquitofish from SOP and reference sites. A. Relative gonopodial lengths of all males mosquitofish, and B. Relative gonopodial lengths of male mosquitofish larger than the smallest male fish with a gonopodial terminal hook complex. .... 45
- Figure 3-3 Distribution of standardised gonopodial length (left) and Box-Cox ( $\lambda=4$ ) transformed standardised gonopodial length (right) of all male mosquitofish at Sydney Olympic Park and reference sites..... 46
- Figure 3-4 Results of analyses of gonopodial characteristics of male mosquitofish from SOP (black bars) and reference sites (grey bars). A. ANCOVA on gonopodium length for males with terminal gonopodial hook complexes only (standardised to covariate mean 21.77mm), B. ANOVA gonopodium length for all males longer than the smallest male with a terminal gonopodial hook complex, C. ANCOVA on gonopodium extension of males with terminal gonopodial hook complexes only (standardised to covariate mean 21.77mm), D. ANOVA gonopodium length for all males longer than the smallest male with gonopodial terminal hook complex, E. ANOVA on Length Ratio and D. ANOVA on Width Ratio. Sample sizes given numerically in each figure. \* denotes significantly ( $p<0.05$ ) different to Upper Colo (clean reference site). .... 51
- Figure 3-5 Results of ANCOVA on the A. gonopodium Length and B. gonopodium extension (Gx), and of ANOVA on C. Length Ratio and D. Width Ratio of juvenile

male mosquitofish collected at wetlands within SOP and reference sites. Sample sizes given numerically in each figure. * denotes significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) different to Upper Colo (clean reference site). .....	52
Figure 3-6 Distribution of stages of maturity of male mosquitofish from Sydney Olympic Park and reference study sites. Presence of hooks defines sexual maturity. $Gx > 1$ defines a fish with a developing gonopodium. $Gx < 1$ denotes a morphologically male fish prior to gonopodial development. ....	54
Figure 4-1 Phylogenetic tree showing evolutionary relationships of the aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AhR) protein (45 protein sequences from 32 taxa). The scale bar represents 5% divergence. The tree was constructed by neighbour joining (Saito and Nei 1987) based on protein sequence alignment of 376 positions. Phylogenetic analyses were conducted in MEGA4 (Tamura et al. 2007). ....	62
Figure 4-2 Percentage contribution (by dry weight) to sediment by grain size classes ( $\mu\text{m}$ ) at Sydney Olympic Park, Parramatta River and urban impacted sites. ....	72
Figure 4-3 TCDDeq (measured by the H4IIE cell line bioassay) for A: surface water and B: sediments at Sydney Olympic Park and urban impacted reference sites (Sydney References) at different collection times. The Sydney reference collection was collected in 2006 * denotes significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) different from reference sites. ....	76
Figure 4-4 TCDDeq values (measured by the H4IIE cell line bioassay) of water from study sites before agitation and of water (combined field and laboratory) after agitation with sediments. * denotes significant ( $p < 0.05$ ) difference. ....	79
Figure 5-1 Details of mosquitofish anal fins used for sex and developmental stage identification. A. Adult female. Black abdominal spot circled; B. Adult female anal fin. Note long first segment on 3 <sup>rd</sup> anal fin ray (denoted by arrow); C. Early stage juvenile male. Note shortened and thickened 1 <sup>st</sup> rays of anal fin (denoted by arrow); D. Mid stage juvenile male. Note elongated 3 <sup>rd</sup> , 4 <sup>th</sup> and 5 <sup>th</sup> anal fin rays; E. Adult male with fully developed anal fin (gonopodium); F. Detail of gonopodial terminal hook complex on gonopodium denoting sexual maturity. ....	89
Figure 5-2 The interaction between sex and developmental stage of mosquitofish on the induction of CYP1A activity (EROD). ....	93
Figure 5-3 Mean ( $\pm$ standard error) of EROD activity in mosquitofish from Sydney Olympic Park and key reference study sites across collection times. ....	102
Figure 5-4 Mean ( $\pm$ standard error) of EROD activity in male mosquitofish inhabiting Sydney Olympic Park wetlands (white bars) and reference sites (black bars). Different letters represent significantly different homogeneous subsets at $\alpha = 0.05$ . ....	104
Figure 5-5 Mean ( $\pm$ standard error) for EROD activity in mosquitofish collected in February 2007 from SOP wetlands (black bars) with reference to those from other urban impacted sites around Sydney (white bars). * Significant difference from estimated basal hepatic EROD activity (Upper Colo = 2308 pmol res/min/mg protein) at $\alpha = 0.05$ . ....	105
Figure 5-6 Relationship between catchment size and EROD activity in adult male mosquitofish at urban impacted reference sites with linear regression equation and coefficient of variation. ....	107
Figure 5-7 Location of urban reference sites with categorical indication of adult male mosquitofish hepatic EROD activity. Black marker denotes the position of Sydney Olympic Park. ....	108

Figure 6-1 Species richness (top) and abundance (bottom) of benthic macroinvertebrates ( $m^{-2}$ ) at Sydney Olympic Park and key reference sites. Letters represent significantly different homogeneous subsets at $\alpha = 0.05$ .	126
Figure 6-2 Non-metric multi dimensional scaling (nMDS) ordination plot of SOP and reference sites using all benthic macroinvertebrate data. Contours show significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) similar groups as defined by similarity profile permutations (SIMPROF).	129
Figure 6-3 Dendrogram of similar samples based on benthic macroinvertebrate assemblage. Bray-Curtis similarity. Fourth-root transformed data. Vertical line represents point above which divergence is not significant as determined by similarity profile permutation tests (SIMPROF) at $\alpha = 0.05$ .	130
Figure 6-4 Canonical correspondence analysis ordination for macroinvertebrate assemblages at SOP and reference study sites. Vectors representing environmental variables are scaled x3. TOC = Total Organic Carbon. PCB, DDT and PAH values are summed anayte concentrations. + denotes centroids for major taxa influencing the significant dissimilarity between Narrawang 22 and other wetlands. Nem. = Nematoda, Ost. = Ostracoda, Olig. = Oligochaeta, Chir. = Chironominae, V. = a group of rare taxa including Baetidae, Caenidae, Culicidae, Odontoceridae, Orthocladiinae.	134
Figure 7-1 Summer and Winter daily and seasonal water temperature variation at SOP and reference wetlands.	149
Figure 7-2 Diel variation during Summer (top) and Winter (bottom) at SOP and reference study sites. Note that there is no data for Upper Colo during Winter months due to missing logger.	150
Figure 7-3 Log-log plots of standard length vs. wet weight of mosquitofish at SOP and reference sites. Linear regression equations and coefficients of variation are given for each regression.	151
Figure 7-4 Proportion of males, females and undifferentiated individuals in mosquitofish populations at SOP and reference sites.	154
Figure 7-5 Proportion of mature, juvenile and individuals with a gonopodium but without gonopodial terminal hook complexes in male populations of mosquitofish in SOP and reference sites.	155
Figure 7-6 Mean ( $\pm$ standard error) of Fulton's K, body condition index (BCI) of A. male, and B. female mosquitofish from SOP and reference sites.	156
Figure 7-7 Mean ( $\pm$ standard error) of the gonado-somatic index (GSI) for mature (gonopodial terminal hook complex present) male mosquitofish from SOP and reference sites.	157
Figure 7-8 Gonopodial lengths of sexually mature (gonopodial terminal hook complex present) – black markers, and sexually immature (gonopodial terminal hook complex absent) mosquitofish from SOP and reference sites. Red = immature, Black = mature.	159
Figure 7-9 Scatter plots of hepatic CYP1A activity (EROD) against A. gonado-somatic index (GSI) and B. body condition index and C. GSI against BCI of mature (gonopodial terminal hook complex) of male mosquitofish from SOP and reference sites.	160
Figure 8-1 Mean ( $\pm$ standard error) of site scores for SOP and reference study sites as calculated from the weight-of-evidence data matrix (Table 8-1).	174

## List of Tables

Table 1-1 List of some of the major, known, environmental reproductive and aryl hydrocarbon (AhR) mediated endocrine disrupting compounds (EDCs) their primary receptors, mode of toxic action and source.....	3
Table 1-2 Organic compounds found in Sydney Olympic Park groundwater, surface waters and sediments samples prior to remediation and leachate from containment mounds post-remediation with Log K <sub>ow</sub> and Log RBA (Relative Binding Affinity to the Estrogen Receptor (ER)). Unless otherwise specified, data was sourced from the Sydney Olympic Park Ecology Databank. NBC = Non-Binding Competitor, ND = no data available, WB = Weak ER binding only. ....	17
Table 2-1 Guide to which study sites were used for the different sections of this study.....	26
Table 2-2 Description of Sydney Olympic Park wetlands used in the current study. Catchment area estimated from Laginestra et al. 2001 and Figures 2-1, 2-22.....	27
Table 2-3 Description of reference wetlands used in the current study.....	33
Table 3-1 Measured values for relative binding affinity (RBA) and equilibrium dissociation constant (K <sub>i</sub> ) for binding to the mammalian estrogen receptor by model estrogenic compounds. ....	48
Table 3-2 Measured values of 17 $\beta$ -estradiol equivalence (E <sub>2eq</sub> ) in aqueous and sediment samples. Detection limits for determination of E <sub>2eq</sub> in aqueous and sediment samples were 6.81ng/L and 27.24 ng/kg dry weight respectively. n/a = no data collected.....	49
Table 3-3 Results of ANCOVA and ANOVA on gonopodial endpoints in juvenile and adult male mosquitofish collected at wetlands within SOP and reference sites. For ANCOVA adult male is defined by the presence of terminal gonopodial hooks. For ANOVA adult male is defined as being larger than the smallest male with terminal gonopodial hooks. ANCOVA data standardised against covariate mean (juvenile = 18.61mm, adult = 21.77mm). n/a = analysis was not appropriate for this group of fish. ....	50
Table 4-1. Results of chemical analysis on sediments in Sydney Olympic Park and Parramatta River study sites in 2005 and 2006. All values for sediment concentrations are expressed per gram dry weight. n/a = no data collected. ....	69
Table 4-2 Results of chemical analysis on sediments in urban impacted reference sites in the Sydney metropolitan area in 2006. All values for sediment concentrations are expressed per gram dry weight. ....	71
Table 4-3 Sediment characteristics at Sydney Olympic Park, Parramatta River, Homebush Bay and urban impacted study sites.....	74
Table 4-4 TCDD <sub>eq</sub> values for surface water and sediments of Sydney Olympic Park and Homebush Bay and urban impacted wetlands measured using the H4IIE cell line bioassay in 2005 and 2006. n/a = no data, BDL = below assay detection limit. ....	75
Table 4-5 Results of multiple regressions between TCDD <sub>eq</sub> values (measured using the H4IIE cell line bioassay) and water and sediment chemical and physico-chemical characteristics.....	77
Table 4-6 Values for sediment $\Sigma$ DDT, $\Sigma$ PAH and $\Sigma$ PCB and TCDD <sub>eq</sub> concentrations reported elsewhere. This list represents a range of reported values and is not meant as an exhaustive review. ....	80

Table 5-1 Results of a three factor ANOVA on the hepatic EROD activity in mosquitofish collected from Sydney Olympic Park and reference study sites. The factor maturity, has two levels (sexually immature and mature). * Significant at $\alpha = 0.05$ , *** significant at $\alpha = 0.001$ .....	92
Table 5-2 ANOVA table for 2 factor analyses of EROD activity across Sydney Olympic Park and reference sites and times of collection. *** Significant at $\alpha = 0.001$ , ** significant at $\alpha = 0.01$ .....	101
Table 5-3 Results of multiple regressions to show sediment and water physical and chemical characteristics which significantly influence the induction of CYP1A (EROD) in mosquitofish from Sydney Olympic Park and reference sites. ....	106
Table 6-1 Macroinvertebrate taxa collected at SOP and reference sites. Average density ( $m^{-2}$ ) shown: * < 1000, ** < 10000, *** > 100000. BC = Boundary Ck., EWQ = EWQCP, NWF = Northern Water Feature, N22 = Narrawang 22, BP = Bicentennial Pk., WP = Wharf Pond, MCU = Macquarie Uni, UPC = Upper Colo, SWQ = SWQCP, H = Habitat Classification. ....	124
Table 6-2 Results of multiple regressions on macroinvertebrate community data using physical and chemical characteristics of the sediments as predictors. Individual taxa are those which regularly influenced the pairwise differences between the sites (SIMPER). The direction of the relationships is denoted as + (positive relationship) and – (negative relationship).....	127
Table 6-3 Matrix of pairwise comparisons of macroinvertebrate assemblages at SOP and reference study sites using ANOSIM. Significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) different values in boldface. BC = Boundary Ck., NWF = Northern Water Feature, N22 = Narrawang 22, BP = Bicentennial Pk., EWQ = EWQCP, WP = Wharf Pond, MCU = Macquarie Uni, UPC = Upper Colo, SWQ = SWQCP. ....	131
Table 6-4 Relative contribution of the main taxa influencing pairwise dissimilarity between study sites. All pairs of study sites represented are significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) different from each other. Contribution to dissimilarity is represented categorically; + signifies greater abundance of taxa in study site (a), - signifies greater abundance of taxa in study site (b). Contribution dissimilarity is represented categorically; 1 symbol > 5%, 2 symbols > 6%, 3 symbols > 7%, 4 symbols > 8%, 5 symbols > 9%, 6 symbols > 10%. Boundary Ck is included as a representative of the main group of study sites separated by similarity profile permutations (SIMPROF). ....	132
Table 7-1 Matrix of p-values for pairwise comparisons of standardised major axis regression slopes of log-log plots of standard length vs. wet weight of mosquitofish from study SOP and reference sites using (S)MATR software (Falster et al. 2006). * = Significant difference ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ). ....	152
Table 7-2 Results of multiple regressions between population parameters measured in populations of mosquitofish in SOP and reference sites and contamination data. Contamination data included were sediment TCDDeq, aqueous phase TCDDeq, sediment concentrations of $\Sigma$ DDT, $\Sigma$ PCB, $\Sigma$ PAH, and $\Sigma$ metals and pore water $\Sigma$ metal concentration. ....	161
Table 8-1 The weight of evidence matrix using multiple lines of evidence (LOE) for the eleven sites studied. Ranks are based on the average score for each study site. LOE scores for each study site were calculated and weighted as described in sections 8.1.2.2 and 8.1.2.3 to yield a site score. The site ranking was based on the site score (i.e., the	

lower the site score the higher its position the ranking). Shaded cells represent no data available. .... 172

## List of Abbreviations

7-ER	7-ethoxyresorufin
ADI	Australian Defense Industries
AhR	Aryl-hydrocarbon receptor
AMD	Acid mine drainage
ANOVA	Analysis of variance
ANCOVA	Analysis of covariance
ANOSIM	Analysis of similarity
AR	Androgen receptor
ARE	AhR response element
ARNT	Aryl hydrocarbon receptor nuclear translocator
BAF	Bioaccumulation Factor
BCD	Base catalysed decomposition
BCF	Bioconcentration factor
BCI	Below detection limit
CCA	Canonoical correspondance analysis
CPOM	Coarse particulate organic matter
CSIRO	Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation
CYP1A	Cytochrome P450-1A
DCC	Dextran coated charcoal
DCM	Dichloromethane
DDD	Dichloro-diphenyl-dichloroethane
DDE	Dichloro-diphenyl-ethane
DDT	Dichloro-diphenyl-trichloroethane
DES	Diethylstilbestrol
DMSO	Dimethylsulphoxide
DO	Dissolved oxygen
DWG	Drinking water guideline
EDCs	Endocrine disrupting compounds
E <sub>1</sub>	Estrone

E <sub>2</sub>	17 $\beta$ -estradiol
E <sub>2eq</sub>	17 $\beta$ -estradiol equivalent concentration
EE <sub>2</sub>	17 $\alpha$ -ethynylestradiol
EI	Electron ionisation
EPT	Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, Trichoptera
ER	Estrogen receptor
ERA	Ecological risk assessment
EROD	Ethoxyresorufin- <i>O</i> -deethylase
ETR	Ecotoxicological rating
EWQCP	Eastern Water Quality Control Pond
FPOM	Fine particulate organic matter
GC-MS	Gas-chromatography – mass spectrometry
GL	Gonopodial length
GSI	Gonado-somatic index
Gx	Gonopodial extension
HpCDD	Hepta-chloro dibenzo dioxin
ICP-MS	Inductively coupled – mass spectrometry
ITD	Indirect thermal desorption
LR	Gonopodial length ratio
LOE	Line(s) of evidence
LOI	Loss on ignition
NADPH	Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate + H <sup>+</sup>
nMDS	Non-metric multi-dimensional scaling
NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
NSW	New South Wales
OCA	Olympic Coordination Authority
OCDD	Octo-chloro dibenzo dioxin
OCP	Organochlorine pesticides
PAH	Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon
PCB	Polychlorinated biphenyl
PCDD/F	Poly chlorinated dibenzo dioxins/furans



POPs	Persistent organic pollutants
RBA	Relative binding affinity
RO	Reverse osmosis
SGp	Standardised gonopodial length
SIM	Selected ion monitoring
SIMPER	Similarity percentages
SIMPROF	Similarity profile permutation tests
SL	Standard length
SMA	Standardised major axis
(S)MATR	Standardised major axis tests and routines
SPE	Solid phase extraction
SOP	Sydney Olympic Park
SOPA	Sydney Olympic Park Authority
SWQCP	Southern Water Quality Control Pond
TCDD	Tetra-chlorinated dibenzo- <i>p</i> -dioxin
TCDDeq	Tetra-chlorinated dibenzo- <i>p</i> -dioxin equivalent concentration
TOC	Total organic carbon
TPH	Total petroleum hydrocarbons
UNEP	United Nations Environment Program
USEPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
WOE	Weight of evidence
WR	Gonopodial width ratio
WQG	Water quality guideline
WRAMS	Water reclamation and management scheme

## Abstract

Disruption to the endocrine systems of wild fauna by anthropogenic compounds (endocrine disruption) has received significant scientific attention over the past 50 years. Compounds with reported reproductive effects (e.g., natural and synthetic estrogens, organochlorine pesticides) have received particular attention due to their potential population level effects. Endocrine disruptors which bind to the aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AhR) (e.g., polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), polychlorinated dibenzo-*p*-dioxins (PCDDs)) are being increasingly studied. The toxic action of these compounds can be directly (by endogenous metabolism to mutagenic products) or indirectly (causing carcinogenesis) mediated by the AhR. The presence of these compounds in the environment is cause for ecological concern. The manufacture and/or release of many endocrine disruptors (particularly chlorinated aromatic compounds) have been restricted in many countries but, due to their long half-lives, significant concentrations are still present in the environment.

Sydney Olympic Park (SOP) is constructed on remediated land. Prior to remediation there were significant concentrations of persistent organic pollutants (POPs) (PCBs, PCDDs, organochlorine pesticides) on the site. The remediation effort in the lead-up to the Sydney Olympic Games in 2000 involved the excavation and treatment of large volumes of POP-contaminated soil and sediment, and storage of treated and translocated wastes in containment mounds on the site. A number of wetlands was created during the restoration process and the area is now habitat for native and migratory fauna. It is also an area of high recreational and educational amenity.

This research investigates the presence of endocrine disrupting chemicals (EDCs) in SOP in the post-remediation context. The studies in this research project investigate different lines of evidence from the concentrations of these chemicals, to *in vitro* bioassays, to an *in vivo*

biomarker of exposure, to population and community level assessment of the presence and effects of POPs in the wetlands within the Park. The results of these individual studies form the basis of a weight-of-evidence semi-quantitative ranking of the wetlands within a gradient of contamination at reference sites.

There was no evidence in the water of the wetlands in SOP to support the presence of EDCs with affinity for estrogen receptor (ER) ( $17\beta$ -estradiol equivalency ( $E_{2eq}$ ) quantified by an estrogen receptor radioligand binding assay). In the sediments of the wetlands there was quantifiable  $E_{2eq}$  but there was no effect of this potential estrogenicity on the reproductive morphology of the male mosquitofish, *Gambusia holbrooki*. Chemical analysis showed measurable concentrations of  $\Sigma$ PAHs (272 – 14461 ng/g dry weight),  $\Sigma$ DDT (4 – 98 ng/g) and  $\Sigma$ PCBs (5 – 47 ng/g) within the sediments of most of the wetlands in SOP and an *in vitro* bioassay (H4IIE) indicated the presence of compounds able to bind to the AhR in all sediment samples (0.016 – 7.06 ng/g). Both the chemical and *in vitro* bioassay data for sites within SOP were within the range measured for urban impacted sites throughout Sydney. A biomarker of exposure to POPs (CYP1A induction) was measured in fish (mosquitofish) populations inhabiting the wetlands of SOP and was found to be significantly increased above basal level (2308 pmol res/min/mg protein) at one study site (Boundary Ck) (4327 pmol res/min/mg protein). When compared to reference sites around Sydney these were within the range measured at urban reference sites (1211 – 7579 pmol res/min/mg protein).

Benthic macroinvertebrate communities were relatively depauperate in most wetlands and had low taxon richness. While it was not possible to assign the cause of these effects to the presence of organic pollutants, multivariate analysis of the data suggests a correlation between depauperate communities and increasing sediment concentrations of 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-*p*-dioxin equivalency (TCDD<sub>eq</sub>),  $\Sigma$ DDT and Total Organic Carbon. It was not possible to prove that differences between the life-history traits of mosquitofish inhabiting the study sites were due to POP-contamination. Differences are likely to be due to complex interactions of biotic and abiotic factors.

A weight-of-evidence approach synthesising these lines of evidence was developed to semi-quantitatively assess the ecological risk associated with the presence of POPs in SOP. A ranking of the sites revealed that the study sites within SOP fell between the pristine site (Upper Colo) and the heavily POP impacted reference site (Homebush Bay). It was concluded that there appears to be little or no legacy impact of the pre-remediation contamination levels at SOP. The remediation program has, therefore, been successful in returning SOP wetlands to within the chemical and biological bounds expected in an urban impacted wetland. Measured contamination in the created wetlands in SOP suggests current inputs from the catchment. It is recommended that these findings form the basis of ongoing monitoring, particularly of the most POP-affected wetlands, as identified by the weight-of-evidence assessment (Boundary Ck and Lake Belvedere). Further, it is recommended that current sources of POPs in the urbanised catchments upstream of these sites be investigated and these contamination pathways restricted or closed.